P.7. 12-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1897-98.

192 .

(XR.)

File No 123-97

Serial Nos 1-15

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-5

m ~~Part~~ B for June 1898.

SUBJECT.

Despatch regarding the Standing of Mahomedan and Mahratti Pleaders in the Moonsiffs Court.

S D 2275 G 1298 20,000 12-98

Examined

LR 123-97-1

1898

No. 2119 Rev. No. 97-98

Name of Petitioner Shankaraya Ramaraya

Village Hirekere

Taluk Sirsi

District Kanara

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 18-2-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the decision of the Dy Commr Kanara in R.S. No. 1 of 26-5-97, & 30-12-97 upholding the decision of the lower Court establishing the Shankaraya Narayana's respondent Ramaraya to the village of Hirekere and Muthalli Rakki — the appellant being the petr Ramaraya himself.

=

The decision appealed against is in Sanskrit.

The appellant states that the Dy Commr rejected the claim & imposed the assessment thereon.

Rev. R. 7.

Subd with Petn No 2120 18/2/98

1) The appeal is on 10R stamp

2) The order appealed against is dy 30th Dec 1897.

3) Petr prays for orders to stay execution (vide petn No 2120)

MG 23.2.98.

In the judgment the Dy Commr states that he wants to make a reference about the repairs of Muthalli Hosalli village. Accdg to the Revenue Dept whether any such ref. has been made & then ordered (The prayer for stay of execution is absurd as the decree is merely declaratory & no time is mentioned. Has been operating by the Govt since the beginning)

MG 23/2/98.

Petn Rejected

Please see the Govt Secretariat file of 1896

MG 23/2/98

The Dy Comr has made no reference in the matter.

MG 6/3

Subd

8/3/98 MG 1) on time

2) Stamp sufficient

3) Record of appeal against the Dy Comr's decree received from the Dy Comr Karwar & the Govt Secretariat 2 Vols of 96

Appeal grounds: —

in Suit

Heard

Ramanaiya (Appellant + 1st Deft)

~~VS~~

Ramaiya — (Respondent + Plaintiff)

are: —

1. That the burden of proving that the plaintiff is Hakdar Sandhiya, and that the office is an ancestral property lies upon the plaintiff.

2. That the defendant has proved that the office in question belonged to the ancestors of Kavaramma and it was gifted to the defts ancestors during Kavaramma's marriage.

3. That the Revenue papers clearly establish defts contention that the plaintiff's grandfather was doing the duties of Shanbhoga as guests of the defts brother during his minority, and the plaintiff without any right contested to do the duties, and the Lower Courts have not considered these facts.

~~and that~~

4. That the suit for declaration that Plaintiff is legal adoptive and for the recovery of his name as such is time-barred.

5. That the suit for the declaration is res judicata.

6. That the suit for the recovery

OK

of Potaki is also time-barred

7. That the suit is clearly to set aside the order passed by the Court, and therefore it is not maintainable

8. That the plaintiff has no right to bring a suit while his natural father Venkaiah is living and the alleged adoption is not valid.

9. That the Courts below have not properly appreciated the evidence and their decision is opposed to law and weight of documentary evidence.

Pray that the Court may be pleased to set aside the orders appealed against.

8/2/98

1. The Certified Copy of Court proceedings

2 Decree - copy



MYSORE COURT FEE

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2119R
DATE 18-2-98

Before the Court
of the Dewan in
Council Mysore
Rev. a. S. No. 64 of 97-8

Nanjappa

~~&~~

Dasappa.



ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಮಿತ್ರಾ ಬಾಯಿ
17. 2. 98.
ಗೌರವಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಕ.ಸಾ.ಪ.

Before the Court of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore

Revenue A. No. of 97-

Nanaya (Shanbogue) residing in Hesarse — Mandaya Taluk

Appellant

vs.

Rangappa son of Basavaiya residing in Hesarse Mandaya Taluk

Respondent & Plaintiff

Appellant above named begs to appeal to this honorable court against the decision of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, passed in Revenue A. No. of 96-97 dated 30th day of December 1897 for the following and among other reasons, namely:—

1 That the burden of proving that the plaintiff is the Shanbogue

and that the office is an ancestral property lies upon the Plaintiff.

2 That the Defendant has proved that the office in question belonged to the ancestors of Kaveramma and it was gifted to the Defendant's ancestors during Kaveramma's marriage.

3 That the Learned Judge of the Court of Ceylon has misdirected himself in holding that the Plaintiff's father was doing the duties of Shanbogue as servants of the Defendant's family during his minority and the Plaintiff without any right contained to do the duties, and the Lower Courts have not considered these pleas and the admissions contained therein.

4 That the suit for declaration that Plaintiff is Sanadan and for the expiry of the lease as such is time barred.

5 That the suit for the declaration is res judicata.

6 That the suit for the recovery of Pattah is also time barred.

7 That the suit is clearly to set-aside the order passed by the deputy Commr and therefore is not maintainable.

8 That the plaintiff has no right to bring a suit while his natural father Venkanna is living and the alleged adoption is not valid.

9. That the lower court below have not properly appreciated the evidence and their decision is opposed to law and weight of documentary evidence

For these reasons, the appellant most respectfully begs that this honourable court may be pleased to set aside the order appealed against and the plaintiff's suit with cost throughout.

Pet. 1193 1/2

21-3-98

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

A.K. 123-97-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 2119 dated ~~[illegible]~~ 21-3-98 received at the Dewan's Office from Shanbhog Virana Pai of Karkala in the Kasaba Hobli, appealing against the order of the Commissioner reversing the Respondent's claim to the Shanbhogi of Karkala Kasaba and Mulkhali Kodali villages belonging to two different pieces ~~are~~ is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

2. His observation that the [illegible] Reference to [illegible] to which he refers in his judgment has not been made.

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
21/3/ 1898.

17-3-98

1897 –98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 8675
MAY 28 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

(3) The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 25th
Despatched } May 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. Petitions from two ryots and two files of records in original

General No. 1367.

Reporting on petitions from Shivaji Narasappa of Hosakeri village in the Maddur Taluk.

Rd. 30/5/98
Reps put up

7/6/28

No. 1367.

Enclosures No. 2 Petitions with enclosures and two files of records as per list.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr.
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 25th May 1898

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your Official memorandum No. Pet. 1193 dated 21st March 1898, forwarding for report two appeal petitions from Shanbog Narasaiya of Hosakere village in the Nanjangud Taluk, regarding the Shanbogi of Kottanahalli and Mallathalli, Doddi in the said Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records in Revenue Regular appeal No. 7 of 1896-97 on the file of this court. The facts of the case are explained in the judgments of the Assistant Commissioner, French Rocks, and the Deputy Commissioner, Mr Madiah.

2. In reference to the readjustment of Shanbog charges with a view to the separation from the Hosakere Circle of Mallahalli Doddi decreed in favor of respondent Narasaiya seems to have yet been made by Government owing to the non-receipt of certain particulars called for from the Sub-Divisional Officer in the matter.

3. The enclosures of your memorandum

under

under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obed. servant

[illegible]

Sub-Division Officer in charge
of the Singore District.

Compd.
Hell.

[illegible]

189

From Dharwar (4)

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore District.

(64)

Dated 31st August 98

Despatched

Received

General No. 1681 P

No. 1712-98

Enclosure No.

Lr 1712-98-4

With reference to para 2 of his letter No. 1367 dated 25th May last, reporting on the appeal of Shanbhog Narasappa of Hosatau in the Sandur Taluk, regarding the Shanbhogi of Kottankalli and another village, the Dy Commr is requested to report when Govt may expect the promised reference and re-adjustment of the Shanbhogi charges.

2. If necessary the Sub Dy Officer must be called upon by the Dy Commr to expedite the submission

of the required particulars to enable the Dy Comm^r to submit his report to Govt forthwith so that the long pending appeal (which stands posted to 5th Inst.) may be disposed of as speedily as possible.

By Order

For Secretary.

Draft.

C.

L.C.
31/8/1922.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1755
SEP 7 1898
Examiner of C...

FILE OF THE
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE

LR 123-97-5

From the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Wait for a week & return

Rec'd 10/9

Lubd.

The reference quoted belongs to Petition Sr. This may be transferred to that Branch.

10/9

Transferred

13/9/98

Rec'd 14/9

OF 12 of 98 relating to the Subject is with Sri. Smarthi

AK 16/9/98

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated
2nd September 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No. 240

Enclosure No.

His docket No. 165 dated 31st August 1898 Pet. No. 12-98 enquiring when Government may expect the promised reference and the readjustment of the Shanbhogue charges of Kottur hilli and Bailahalli Doddi in the Mandya Taluk.

Adverting to the above, I have the honor to state that an urgent reminder has been sent to the Sub-Division Officer, Hunsur Circle, calling for the immediate submission of his report and on receipt of his reply, the required reference will

will be made by this Office on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,
Thomas Corwin

Comptroller.

Gen. 31] (6) 1898 ff 12/36.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No. 2035
SEP 16 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Revenue & General Depts.

Dated 12th September 1898
Despatched
Received

General No.
No. 291

Enclosure No. one

This office letter dated 2nd September 1898, regarding the readjustment of the Thanduga charges of Kattimanalli and Mullahalli doddi in the Nanjangud taluk

---

In continuation of the above, I have the honour to submit herewith Copy of the Sub division officers letter No 24 C, dated 9th instant, reporting that he has issued urgent orders to the amildar for the required information, and that the same will be

Rec'd 9/9
Re Sepdt Gomti Dy Commr Mysore reporting [illegible] transferred to the Pol Dept on 3.9.98
NK 9/9 Misc may also be transferred to Pol Branch.

Rec'd 21/9
P 7 12/98 relating to the subject & containing the letter noted, was sent to Mr Bowring on 16.9.98.

AK 22/9/98
Draft-Lr to Dy C'm'r Mysore
Sd/- [illegible]
22/9/98

be sent in as soon as received
There seems to have been an omission
on the part of the 3rd division office to
call for the necessary particulars from
the Indian immediately on receipt of this
office requisition for the same.

The report now promised by the sub-
division officer is awaited and on re-
ceipt thereof the required reference will
be made by this office.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

Confidy.

1898.99

From

The Presidency Office
French Rocks

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

Dated 4th September 1898

No 240

With reference to his docket No 55 dated 2nd instant, returned today, has the honor to state that subsequently to the receipt of the Jodi referred to vide docket No 28, of 25th February 1898, the Deputy Commissioner, vide docket No 356, of 26th March 1898, called for the entire record connected with the Shanbogi for submission to Government, the records were submitted with this office No 1449 of 13th May 1898, without calling for the information required in the said Jodi, pending the disposal of the appeal. Urgent orders have been issued to the Amildar today for the required report, and it will be sent in as soon as

as soon as received.

Signed A. Ramsay Capt
Sub division Officer
Grand Rapids

1 "Copy"

A. M. Ward
Deputy Commissioner
Upper district

Comfort City.

12798

Rubber
Rec'd 3/10

Previous correspondence
Petn for Mysore Mining Co
been called for
Mar B6
Yes
13/10

Rec'd 13/10. OL 12798 Containing
Three copies of petition

AK
13/10/98
Submitted as proposed

E 14.10.98

Mr
14/10/98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 2409
OCT. 3 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Revenue
Dept. 1898 Sept. 27

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and Genl depts
Bangalore

Dated 28th
Despatched } September 1898
Received

General No.
No. 345

Enclosure No.—

Previous Correspondence
ending with this office
docket No. 291, dated
10th September, 1898, re-
garding the readjust-
ment of the Thumbagi
Charges of Nothanieilli
and Millahulli Doddi
and Millahulli Doddi
in the Mandya taluk.

In continuation of the
above, has the honor
to request that the
records submitted
with this office letter
No. 136y, dated 25th
May, 1898, may kindly
be returned for reference.

Deputy Comr Mysore

Compared

(10) 1898

From

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

To

The Dy Comr of Belgaum

LR 123-97-8

Dated 15th
Despatched 15th Oct 98.
Received
General No. 2965 P
P.J. No. 12-98

Enclosure No.

The Dy Comr's ~~letter~~ D.O. letter
No. 345 dated 28th September
1898 —

Has the honor to
return herewith the ~~records~~
referred to in the above, received
with his letter No. 1367 dated
25th May 1898 regarding
the Shanbhagi of Hesaloor
in the Nandgad Taluka and
to request ~~return~~ return
of the same along with the
further information
called for —

By order

Dy Secretary

14.10.98

1898 – 99.

(11)

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Le 123-97-9

Dated 8
Despatched to } November
Received

Enclosures No. Bale of Vernacular papers

General No. 4387.

Reporting on the Shanbhogi
Punk of Kottanahalli and Mel-
halli Doddi villages in the Maddya
Taluk.

No. 438

Enclosures No. 1 file of Vernacular papers.

OFFICE OF THE Dy Commr 4

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated 8/10 November 1898

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to previous correspondence ending with your Docket No. 2965 Genl. 12-98 dated 10th October 1898, regarding the petition of Shanbhog Narayappa of Hoskere village in the Maratya Taluk, appealing against the Asst. Commissioner's order recognizing the shanbhogui of Kottanahalli and Mellahalli Doddi villages, I have the honour to state that according to the decree passed by me in Revenue Regular appeal case No. 7 of 1896-97 Respondent Basappa who has long been performing the duties of Kottanahalli and Mellahalli Doddi has to be registered as shanbhog of those villages of which appellant Narayappa is now the Barawardar.

There is no difficulty in executing the decree so far as Kottanahalli is concerned as it

forms a separate Firka by itself, but before giving effect to the decree in regard to Mella. halli Doddi which is included in the Nilvagal Firka and not Hosakere as stated by an Overseer in the Jamabundy of the Original and appellate Courts a readjustment of Shanbhogui charge is necessary.

The Nilvagal Firka consists of the 2 villages of Nilvagal and Mellahalli Doddi. The Jamabundy of these villages and the Potagi assigned thereto are as shown in the margin. The Jamabundy of Kottanahalli village is Rs 119-- (Land Revenue) with a Potagi of Rs 53-8-0.

Nilvagal Mullapalley Kodali

	Jamabundy	Potagi
	Rs 153-4-0	71-12-0
	191-0-0	--"--
	17 68-0-0	--"--

The Nanjundiah holds a separate Shanbhogui decree entitling him to the Shanbhogui of the said village and Basappa the Respondent in the case under report, is to be registered as the Patawardar of Kottanahalli and Mellahalli Doddi. I think that Mellahalli Doddi may conveniently be separated from Nilvagal and added on to Kottanahalli and a proportionate deduction made in the Potagi attached to the Nilvagal Firka as it now stands. I accordingly request the

Sanction of Government to this proposal.

The records received with your docket

quoted above are herewith returned.

I have the honorable

Sir

Your most obedient servant

/Signed/ C. Madiah

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

/Illegible/

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Imperfect.

Draft R. 74-14

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General & Revenue Department, Bangalore

To

The Deputy Commr. Mysore District.

Rev. Appeal No. 7 of 96-97

Narayappa, residing in Hosahalli Maadya Hobli

Vs

Dasappa, son of Nanjaiah residing in the same village

Ref. R. 128-97-10 (12)

4055 dt. 7.12.95

R. 1974/7

Sir,

I am directed to state that a petition containing vernacular records relating to the original noted Rev. Appeal, has been received in this office as an enclosure to the Deputy Commr's. No. 638 while the letter has not yet been received and to request therefore that the letter may be forwarded at an early date.

By order,

M. S. 1.2.96

For Secretary

17-12-98

Cont. 31

(13)

1897

Ref. 231

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.
Revenue and General Depts.

Dated

Despatched 9th December 1897

Received

General No. 5214
No.

Enclosure No. one

His docket No. 4055/1974 dated 4th Instant.

Has the honour to state that this office letter No. 435 of the 8th November 1897 was sent along with the parcel containing the remaining records relating to the Revenue appeal No. 1 of 1896-97 - Nanappa of Hosa Keré, Mandya Taluk, - Dasappa son of Bhaskaraiah. A copy of the letter is however herewith submitted for ready reference

Martin
for Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District

2 Drafts

(A)

Proc of the Govt of Mysore

Appt
Narsappa &
ors (1)

Respt
Dasappa
(ors 7 ors)

Read.
(1. Petn of appellt no 64 of
1897-98 presented by Narsappa
of the village of Hoskere, Mandya taluk
Against the order of the
Dy Comm, Mysore dt
Confirming with a modification
the decree of the Asst Comm
of Evenoor Nadu, declaring
the respt Dasappa to be
the hereditary Shanbog of the
village of Annakalli & Melkalkidoddi
in the Mandya taluk

(2) Records of the Case

(3) Letter no 438 of 8/11/98
from the Dy Comm Mysore
dt proposing the Separation of

Draft for appt.
Sent to St Counsel
by 22/2/99

10698
appl
Ext
22/2/99

Prepare Xerox copies
Return Telegram para 5
Dasappa
by 23/2/99

Sent to 2nd Counsel
The order being drafted under instructions
by 24/2/99

24.2.99

7/4/99

Melkalli dodri from the
Melavagal pakha & its
amalgamation with
Kottanahalli

Facts of the case

Respt's ~~father~~ grandfather Dasappa
was the officiating Shanbog of the
Villages of Kottanahalli & Melkali-
dodi from the year 1847 & 1871 (9 F)
on his death in the (latter) year,
he was succeeded by his 2nd son
Bhaskaraiya. Bhaskaraiya
dying a year or two afterwards was
succeeded by his adopted son, the
respondent, who has been performing
the Shanbogi duties ever since
on the introduction of Potpi settle-
ment in 1893, the respt applied
to the Sub Divl officer of French
Rocks for payment to him of
the potpi of the 2 villages in question
As the Banabadaki Rejas of 1847
& 1862-63 showed Dasappa, the
respt's grandfather, to be the officiating

& the appts father Ramappa
and
the one Maddanaiya & the
brothers of the 2nd Mlgs &
as the respts were unable to
produce any order from the
local authorities recognising
his hak, the Sub Dr Mr
decided that the respts were
not only not entitled to the
pshp but the 2nd also bound
over the charge of the 2nd Mlgs
to the appts. On appeal
the Dt Court modified the above
order by holding that the
respts possn of the office
could not be summarily
disturbed.

In Oct 1895 the respts brought
the present suit against the
appts & two others in the Court
of the Sub Dr Mr for a
declaration of his hak to the
Shembopi of the 2nd Mlgs in
Question & for payment of
the pshp thereof from the

date of Survey Settlement.

The appltˢ (1st deftˢ) urged, among
other things, that the respts & his
ancestors were merely grantees
for himself & his brother who
were minors The 2nd deftˢ admitted
the respts claim to the Kottawalath
Village & denied the rest of the claim
while the 3rd deftˢ did not
contest the Suit
The ant Commr at Trincomalee,
to whom the Suit was transferred,
found that the appltˢ & respts were
~~heir members of the Caste~~
~~family~~ & his ancestors had
been in possn of the Headboys of
the 2 Villages for nearly 50 years
in their own right & decreed
that the respts shd be registered
as the hereditary Headboys of the
2 Villages & shd receive
profits from the date of institution
of the Suit
On appeal by the appltˢ, the
Ds Commr Confirmed the decree

LR 123-97-12

with the modification that the name of the respt woman not to be registered as baraowner of the Nelamangala village until special orders of Govt obtained to separate it from the Nidagal taluka

Hence this 2nd appeal by the plaintiffs

No. 7324-5 P.C. 12-98 / 3/15-4-99. / 17

(996)

Order thereon

The case, being ripe for disposal after perusal of evidence and arguments

Govt See no reason to differ from the concurrent finding of the Courts below that the respts and his ancestors have been in possn of the Sharbopi lands in question in their own right and not as tenants for the appltt. The decree appealed against is confirmed and this appeal is dismissed.

2. The Dy Comr's proposal for the separation of Nelamangala from Nidagal taluka &c

* Govt - The appltt urges many other technical grounds not noticed here

22/2/99.

Mr. Ayyangar
the Govt Pleader

amal magazine with Kottambelli
will be referred to the Supt of
Rev Stud Kyoga for para 9 and
opinion ~~& report~~

Sec 4
22/2/99.

To the Dy Com, Kyoga Distt Kampala
To the Supt of Rev Studies Kyoga.

Sent to appl

15-4-99

LR 123-97

15-4-99

[illegible]

[illegible]

Dated 8 - 4 - 99

By Order

[illegible]

Draft

Ben.

8/4

LR 1893-97-14

AR
30-1-99

(15)

General Serial No. 30.

1899

From The Superintendent Rawlinson

To The Secretary to the Govt of Ellycore

Dated

Despatched 26th day of May 1899

Received 29th

Enclosures No.

General No. 780

No.

Recd 1/6. Q7 12 of 98 relating to the subject & put up

AR
1-6-99

Subd Put up draft directing the readjustment of the Charges proposed by the 2nd Class which has been approved by the Survey Supdt. BR 3.6.99

MM 2.6.99

Dft Prop put up
MM - 6/6/99

Subd to 1st Councillor with a draft
BR
7.6.99

11459

1 copy & the draft proposed put up to Council for appl & sign

AR
7/6/99

10/6/99

Subd to 2nd Councillor
BR
8.6.99

Rd 9.30 Am
9.6.99

app'd
MM
10.6.99

MM 10/6/99 Isaac Drabo
BR
10.6.99

Govt Order of 13-4-5 dated 15th April 98 & 12-98 requesting opinion on the subject of the reclamation of Mahalikidoddi from a Navigable River and its amalgamation with Kottankalli in the Mandya Taluk

Has the honor to state that these address to

to be no objection to the amalgamation
of the villages in question.

[illegible]
Supt Allyson Rd.
Surrey

Ld/123-97-15

(18)

Proceedings of the Govt

Read again:—

~~Govt Pro. No 7324-5~~
~~RE 12-98~~

~~dt 15/17-4-99 reporting~~ Letter No 438 dt 8-11-98 from the Collr, Nilgiris dt, forwarding the separation of Kottavatti Mukkalidoddi from Nilvagal Fiska and its amalgamation with Kottanhalli, ~~in the Mandya~~ ~~Taluk, to the Supdt of Govt~~ ~~Survey in Mysore for favour~~ ~~of opinion~~

Read:—

(2) Letter No 750 dt 26-~~11~~-99 from the Supdt of Govt Survey stating that there appears to be no objection to the ~~transfer~~ ~~amalgamation~~ of the Village in question to the adjacent Fiska

Order No 9160-61. dt 13-12-99.
O.M.12-98/14

The readjustment of

↑ reporting on the Shambogini Hak of Kottanhalli and Mukkalidoddi Villages in the Mandya Taluq and

↑ in reply to Govt Pro No 7324-5 dt 15-12-99 RE 12-98 deciding the question of Shambogini Hak & rejecting the proposal of the Collr for transfer of this opinion

(438)

Shambhoji

The changes proposed by the
Asst Commr of the Survey Depot
Dy Comr is sanctioned.

BR
7.6.99
For Secretary

To

The Dy Comr, Mysore Dist.

Supdt of Rev: Surveys in Mysore

wrd
10/6/99

Dft

WC 6/6/99

ASR
7.6.99